### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the:
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: Alban Tay Mahtani & De Silva 39 Robinson Road #07-01 Robinson Point Singapore 068911	٠.	INTERI REPO	PCT ATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF NATIONAL PRELIMINARY ORT ON PATENTABILITY of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)
			(PCT Rule 71.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 5 JUL 2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference 20400446KC	· <del>-</del>	ІМРО	RTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No.	International filing d	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/SG2004/000068 23 March 2004			2 April 2003
Applicant			
CHUA, Beng San et al			•

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translations to those Offices.

### 4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed invention is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU  Auth	uthorized officer	: (5)	및 III	
1 40011110 1101 (02) 0200 2725	W. THOMSON Elephone No. (02) 6283 2214	<u>ਂ</u> ਹ	D VED	*

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 20400446KC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/SG2004/000068	International filing date (day/month/y 23 March 2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 2 April 2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
Int. Cl. 7 G01C 21/30					
Applicant					
CHUA, Beng San et al					
		·			
This report is the international preliminal Authority under Article 35 and transmitt					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4	sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANN	NEXES, comprising:	_			
a. X (sent to the applicant and to the	International Bureau) a total of 1 sh	neets, as follows:			
	tions authorized by this Authority (see	n amended and are the basis for this report and/or Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the			
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or table related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating	to the following items:				
X Box No. I Basis of the report	<b>t</b> .				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability			
X Box No. IV Lack of unity of in	ivention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents	s cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report					
2 November 2004 12 July 2005					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer					
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALI	IA				
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au J.W. I HOIVISON				
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929 Telephone No. (02) 6283 2214					

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

1 1 /n Emproparation No PCT/SG2004/000068

Bo	x No. I	Basis of the report	JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 3 0 AUG 2005
1.		rd to the language, this report is based on the international indicated under this item.	application in the language in which it was filed, unless
		report is based on translations from the original language in his the language of a translation furnished for the purpose	
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1 (b))	•
		publication of the international application (under Rule 1	2.4)
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2	and/or 55.3)
2.	furnished	rd to the elements of the international application, this reports the receiving Office in response to an invitation under A are not annexed to this report):	
	the i	ternational application as originally filed/furnished	
	X the d	escription:	
		pages 1-9 as originally filed/furnished	
ı			ith the letter of ith the letter of
	X the c	aims:	in the letter of
	لخت	pages 10-11 as originally filed/furnished	•
		pages* as amended (together with any sta	tement) under Article 19
			November 2004 with the letter of 1 November 2004
	TT 41- 4	pages* received by this Authority on wi	ith the letter of
	X the d	awings:  pages 1/5 - 5/5 as originally filed/furnished	
		pages* received by this Authority on wit	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	h the letter of
	a seq	nence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental	Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	X The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages	
	Ī	the claims, Nos. 21	
	F	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	F	the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
4.		eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosus)).	
	Г	the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	·
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
•	If item 4 ap	plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	i same e e e e e

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/SG2004/000068

Bo	x No.	IV I	Lack of u	unity of invention		
1.		In respon	onse to the	e invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:		
		re	estricted t	the claims.		
		pa	aid additi	ional fees.		
		pa	aid additi	ional fees under protest.		
		ne ne	either res	tricted nor paid additional fees.		
_ <b>2</b> .	X			ound that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	chose, according to Rule 68.	1,
-3.	This .	Authority	consider	rs that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13	.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:	
		complied	d with.			-
	X	not comp	plied with	n for the following reasons:		i
		Claim 1		Relates to a display map having a zooming method in which the determined by the number of entities present in the map. The determities present and the comparison to a pre determined threshold feature.	ermination of the number	of
		Claim 1		Relates to a display map having two parts in which the first part a scale and in the second part the map is displayed at a second scale side by side at two different scales is the second technical feature	e. Displaying the same ma	
				claims are not linked as to form a single general inventive conception of the control of the con		е
					•	
						.
						ŀ
4. (	Conse	quently, th	his report	has been established in respect of the following parts of the internation	al application:	
		X all pa	arts.			
		the pa	oarts relat	ing to claims Nos.		

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/SG2004/000068

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-22	YES		
·	Claims	NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-22	YES		
	Claims	NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-22	YES		
	Claims	NO		

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
  - D1: Derwent Abstract Accession No. 2002-613805/66, Class P85;T01, JP 2002216143-A (JO) 2 August 2003

The invention defined by claims 1-18 appears to be both novel and inventive in light of the citations listed in the ISR with no disclosure of a map having a zooming method in which the scale of the map displayed is determined by the number of entities present in the map.

Furthermore, the invention defined by claims 19-22 appears to be novel and inventive in light of citation D1 which fails to disclose a digital display method in which a map is displayed on a display screen having two separate parts. On the first part of the display screen a map is displayed at a first scale and in the second part of the display screen said map is displayed at a second scale

JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 3 0 AUG 2005

- 18. A method as claimed in claim 17, wherein the display of the right screen is of a larger scale than the display of the left screen, and the map displayed in the left screen forms an area immediately around the position as displayed in the right screen.
- 19. A digital map display method including the steps:
  - (a) determining a position of a display device for the digital map;
  - (b) displaying the digital map at a first scale on a first part of a display screen of the display device; and
  - (c) on a second part of the display screen of the display device displaying at a smaller scale that part of the digital map surrounding the position.

wherein the first part of a display screen is of the exact location within a relatively small geographical area that forms the area immediately around the position in the map of the second part of the display screen.

- 20. A method as claimed in claim 19, wherein the first part is a right display and the second part is a left display.
- 21. A method as claimed in any one of claims 19 to 20, wherein the split is horizontal or vertical.
- 22. A computer usable medium comprising a computer program that is configured to cause at least one processor to execute one or more functions to perform the steps of any one of claims 1 to 21.